

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FILED	<input type="checkbox"/> RECEIVED
<input type="checkbox"/> ENTERED	<input type="checkbox"/> SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
MAR 18 2011	
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY _____	DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

FREDERICK LOUIS WARD,
Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF SPARKS, et al.,
Defendant.

Case No. 3:09-cv-00007-RCJ-VPC

ORDER

Currently before the Court is a Motion for Reconsideration (#154) filed by Plaintiff Frederick Louis Ward ("Plaintiff") on March 1, 2011.


On February 9, 2011, this Court entered an Order (#133) adopting and accepting a Report and Recommendation issued by U.S. Magistrate Judge Valerie P. Cooke. In the Order, the Court agreed with the Magistrate Judge that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint should be dismissed with prejudice. In the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#123), the Magistrate Judge noted that Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint failed to include any factual support for Plaintiff's claims of constitutional violations. In addition, the Magistrate Judge noted that Plaintiff continually disregarded the Court's prior orders and instructions. Thus, the Magistrate Judge recommended that Plaintiff's case be dismissed and this Court agreed.

Plaintiff has now filed a motion for the Court to reconsider its Order (#133) dismissing his case with prejudice. Based upon a review of the motion and the relevant documents in this case, the Court denies the motion. Plaintiff has failed to satisfy the standard on reconsideration as provided in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60. In addition, Plaintiff's motion is based on the same arguments already addressed by both this Court and the Magistrate Judge.

1 Thus, based on the foregoing, the Court DENIES the Motion to Reconsider (#154).

2 IT IS SO ORDERED.

3 Dated: March 18, 2011

4 
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE